East Lake Sammamish Trail Inglewood Parking Lot SSDP2016-00414

I. Summary of Public Comment Received			
Item,	/Topic	City of Sammamish Comments	King County Responses
A.	Critical Areas		
	1	Concern over construction within a wetland buffer and how it will be protected	There aren't any critical area impacts resulting from the Inglewood Hill Road Parking Lot project. Generally, construction will be confined to the limits shown on the plans with placement of construction fencing.
В.	ROW 1	Dispute with County ownership of ROW and survey boundaries	Not a shoreline comment: This comment is not relevant to the City's review of the shoreline substantial development permit application as it addresses matters outside the scope of the Shoreline Management Act and the City of Sammamish Shoreline Master Program. The County will separately respond to public comments received as part of the SSDP review process that are outside the scope of this permit application in the summer or fall of 2017.
C.	Stormwater		
	1	Concern over increased runoff, hard surfaces, and pollutants	King County is designing drainage for the trail in accordance with the King County Surface Water Design Manual and City of Sammamish 2016 Surface Water Design Manual Addendum ("Surface Water Manual"), the most curent regulations that govern surface water management for development of property. The manual addresses increases in run-off that result from the project. The City of Sammamish will confirm compliance during the permit review process. Trails are non-pollutant-generating surfaces under the manual.
	2	Water quality a concern during construction	See response to Comment I.C.1.
	3	Keep storm piping sizing consistent and not create unnecessary backup of water or flooding	The County will ensure that drainage structures on King County property are sufficient to accommodate the natural flow of water onto the ELST corridor. As part of the redevelopment of the ELST, King County has conducted an extensive analysis of the drainage/stormwater patterns in the area of the corridor. Obstructions or blockages identified within the County-owned corridor are being fixed. Downstream property owners are being notified if obstructions or blockages are identified downstream of the corridor. Downstream property owners have a duty to accommodate the natural flow of water onto their property. While property owners may replace natural drainage structures with a pipe or other artificial conveyance system, it must be sufficiently large to accommodate the natural flow of water. Once artificial structures are constructed, property owners have a continuing obligation to maintain them in good working order to ensure proper functioning of the drainage system.
D.	Access		
	1	Concern over removal of existing driveway associated with Kokomo Drive	Not a shoreline comment: This comment is not relevant to the City's review of the shoreline substantial development permit application as it addresses matters outside the scope of the Shoreline Management Act and the City of Sammamish Shoreline Master Program. The County will separately respond to public comments received as part of the SSDP review process that are outside the scope of this permit application in the summer or fall of 2017.
	2	Installation of rockery and removal of existing access road will make backing out of area homes impossible	Not a shoreline comment: This comment is not relevant to the City's review of the shoreline substantial development permit application as it addresses matters outside the scope of the Shoreline Management Act and the City of Sammamish Shoreline Master Program. The County will separately respond to public comments received as part of the SSDP review process that are outside the scope of this permit application in the summer or fall of 2017.
	3	Pave existing gravel driveway instead of removing it	Not a shoreline comment: This comment is not relevant to the City's review of the shoreline substantial development permit application as it addresses matters outside the scope of the Shoreline Management Act and the City of Sammamish Shoreline Master Program. The County will separately respond to public comments received as part of the SSDP review process that are outside the scope of this permit application in the summer or fall of 2017.
	4	Changing slope of driveways will not allow emergency vehicles and other large vehicles/trailers access to homes	Not a shoreline comment: This comment is not relevant to the City's review of the shoreline substantial development permit application as it addresses matters outside the scope of the Shoreline Management Act and the City of Sammamish Shoreline Master Program. The County will separately respond to public comments received as part of the SSDP review process that are outside the scope of this permit application in the summer or fall of 2017.
	5	ADA access needs to be provided to both trail users and residents	Comment noted. This project complies with ADA.
	6	Assurances that access will be available to homes during construction and that driveways will not be damaged	Not a shoreline comment: This comment is not relevant to the City's review of the shoreline substantial development permit application as it addresses matters outside the scope of the Shoreline Management Act and the City of Sammamish Shoreline Master Program. The County will separately respond to public comments received as part of the SSDP review process that are outside the scope of this permit application in the summer or fall of 2017.

I. Summary of	Public Comment Received (continued)	
Item/Topic	City of Sammamish Comments	King County Responses
D. Access (conti	inued)	
7	Provide designs for staircases to be changed/replaced	Not a shoreline comment: This comment is not relevant to the City's review of the shoreline substantial development permit application as it addresses matters outside the scope of the Shoreline Management Act and the City of Sammamish Shoreline Master Program. The County will separately respond to public comments received as part of the SSDP review process that are outside the scope of this permit application in the summer or fall of 2017.
8	Explain how was the shared stair access scenarios were determined by King County	Not a shoreline comment: This comment is not relevant to the City's review of the shoreline substantial development permit application as it addresses matters outside the scope of the Shoreline Management Act and the City of Sammamish Shoreline Master Program. The County will separately respond to public comments received as part of the SSDP review process that are outside the scope of this permit application in the summer or fall of 2017.
9	Access for residents during trail construction	Not a shoreline comment: This comment is not relevant to the City's review of the shoreline substantial development permit application as it addresses matters outside the scope of the Shoreline Management Act and the City of Sammamish Shoreline Master Program. The County will separately respond to public comments received as part of the SSDP review process that are outside the scope of this permit application in the summer or fall of 2017.
10	Concern over access to the Inglewood Beach Club property for members	Not a shoreline comment: This comment is not relevant to the City's review of the shoreline substantial development permit application as it addresses matters outside the scope of the Shoreline Management Act and the City of Sammamish Shoreline Master Program. The County will separately respond to public comments received as part of the SSDP review process that are outside the scope of this permit application in the summer or fall of 2017.
E. Staging Area		
1	Explain where equipment will be stored during construction	Construction staging will occur in the area of the proposed parking lot - not the area of the trail below. The Revised 60-percent Plans show the staging locations.
F. Parking		
1	Re-design rockery to allow for more resident parking	Not a shoreline comment: This comment is not relevant to the City's review of the shoreline substantial development permit application as it addresses matters outside the scope of the Shoreline Management Act and the City of Sammamish Shoreline Master Program. The County will separately respond to public comments received as part of the SSDP review process that are outside the scope of this permit application in the summer or fall of 2017.
2	Concern over removal of existence residence parking	Not a shoreline comment: This comment is not relevant to the City's review of the shoreline substantial development permit application as it addresses matters outside the scope of the Shoreline Management Act and the City of Sammamish Shoreline Master Program. The County will separately respond to public comments received as part of the SSDP review process that are outside the scope of this permit application in the summer or fall of 2017.
3	Concern that garbage storage area is proposed to be removed	Not a shoreline comment: This comment is not relevant to the City's review of the shoreline substantial development permit application as it addresses matters outside the scope of the Shoreline Management Act and the City of Sammamish Shoreline Master Program. The County will separately respond to public comments received as part of the SSDP review process that are outside the scope of this permit application in the summer or fall of 2017.
4	Explain whether the parking lot will be gated after hours	Not a shoreline comment: This comment is not relevant to the City's review of the shoreline substantial development permit application as it addresses matters outside the scope of the Shoreline Management Act and the City of Sammamish Shoreline Master Program. The County will separately respond to public comments received as part of the SSDP review process that are outside the scope of this permit application in the summer or fall of 2017
G. Trail Width/		
Location		
1	Explain reasoning for proposed trail alignment	Please see the response to the City Comment II.A.1 though 4 below.
3	Trail should not be removed outside existing footprint Clearing and grubbing limits are not designated for a portion of this plan set	Please see the response to the City Comment II.A.1 though 4 below.
H. Lighting	Clearing and grubbing limits are not designated for a portion of this plan set	Clearing and grubbing limits have been updated in the revised 60-percent Plans.
1	Explain lighting plan and how it will affect surrounding residences	City code requires minimum level of lighting for the parking lot entrances off of E Lake Samamish Parkway, the sidewalk and plaza areas along the edge of the parking, and the ramp from the parking lot to the trail. Illumination will not be provided for the trail. New overhead light fixtures will be set as far back from the western/lake side of the lot while still meeting City code for lighting and landscaping. Homes directly below the 30' tall retaining wall will be shielded from most of the light by the wall itself. Homes to the north and northwest and to the east will be shielded from the new lights by dense tree planting as required by City code.
2	Explain whether there will be lights on the trail or in the parking lot	See the response to I.H.1 above.

I. Summary of		
Item/Topic	City of Sammamish Comments	King County Responses
I. Restroom		
1	Concern of odor from restrooms	Not a shoreline comment: This comment is not relevant to the City's review of the shoreline substantial development permit application as it addresses matters outside the scope of the Shoreline Management Act and the City of Sammamish Shoreline Master Program. The County will separately respond to public comments received as part of the SSDP review process that are outside the scope of this permit application in the summer or fall of 2017.
2	Concern of aesthetics of restroom facility and that it shouldn't be in view of any residence	Not a shoreline comment: This comment is not relevant to the City's review of the shoreline substantial development permit application as it addresses matters outside the scope of the Shoreline Management Act and the City of Sammamish Shoreline Master Program. The County will separately respond to public comments received as part of the SSDP review process that are outside the scope of this permit application in the summer or fall of 2017.
3	Autolock should be installed to close the facility at night	Not a shoreline comment: This comment is not relevant to the City's review of the shoreline substantial development permit application as it addresses matters outside the scope of the Shoreline Management Act and the City of Sammamish Shoreline Master Program. The County will separately respond to public comments received as part of the SSDP review process that are outside the scope of this permit application in the summer or fall of 2017.
J. Safety		
1	Do not move access road closer to the existing helicopter operations area	Not a shoreline comment: This comment is not relevant to the City's review of the shoreline substantial development permit application as it addresses matters outside the scope of the Shoreline Management Act and the City of Sammamish Shoreline Master Program. The County will separately respond to public comments received as part of the SSDP review process that are outside the scope of this permit application in the summer or fall of 2017.
2	Unsafe ingress and egress for new parking lot at already challenging intersection	Not a shoreline comment: This comment is not relevant to the City's review of the shoreline substantial development permit application as it addresses matters outside the scope of the Shoreline Management Act and the City of Sammamish Shoreline Master Program. The County will separately respond to public comments received as part of the SSDP review process that are outside the scope of this permit application in the summer or fall of 2017.
3	Parking lot access too close to Kokomo Drive	Not a shoreline comment: This comment is not relevant to the City's review of the shoreline substantial development permit application as it addresses matters outside the scope of the Shoreline Management Act and the City of Sammamish Shoreline Master Program. The County will separately respond to public comments received as part of the SSDP review process that are outside the scope of this permit application in the summer or fall of 2017.
4	County needs to protect homeowners from liability created from trail	Not a shoreline comment: This comment is not relevant to the City's review of the shoreline substantial development permit application as it addresses matters outside the scope of the Shoreline Management Act and the City of Sammamish Shoreline Master Program. The County will separately respond to public comments received as part of the SSDP review process that are outside the scope of this permit application in the summer or fall of 2017.
5	Explain who is patrolling trail, enforcing cyclist speed limit and preventing trespassing on private property	Not a shoreline comment: This comment is not relevant to the City's review of the shoreline substantial development permit application as it addresses matters outside the scope of the Shoreline Management Act and the City of Sammamish Shoreline Master Program. The County will separately respond to public comments received as part of the SSDP review process that are outside the scope of this permit application in the summer or fall of 2017.
6	Trail improvements will increase risk of vandalism, theft and trespassing	Not a shoreline comment: This comment is not relevant to the City's review of the shoreline substantial development permit application as it addresses matters outside the scope of the Shoreline Management Act and the City of Sammamish Shoreline Master Program. The County will separately respond to public comments received as part of the SSDP review process that are outside the scope of this permit application in the summer or fall of 2017.
7	Explain whether homeowners will be allowed to install security gates that align with the County's chain link fence	Not a shoreline comment: This comment is not relevant to the City's review of the shoreline substantial development permit application as it addresses matters outside the scope of the Shoreline Management Act and the City of Sammamish Shoreline Master Program. The County will separately respond to public comments received as part of the SSDP review process that are outside the scope of this permit application in the summer or fall of 2017.
8	Keep cyclists off of private driveways	Not a shoreline comment: This comment is not relevant to the City's review of the shoreline substantial development permit application as it addresses matters outside the scope of the Shoreline Management Act and the City of Sammamish Shoreline Master Program. The County will separately respond to public comments received as part of the SSDP review process that are outside the scope of this permit application in the summer or fall of 2017.

I. Summar	y of Public Comment Received (continued)	
Item/Top		King County Responses
K. Trees		
1	What is the plan for tree retention and minimal tree damage during construction	This project is designed with the goal of enhancing the shoreline and natural environment and preserving trees whenever possible. King County Parks shares your sentiment regarding preserving existing trees and our policy is to avoid impacting significant trees whenever possible. However, there are a number of factors that determine the trail alignment including building the trail within a narrow corridor, steep terrain, and avoiding impacts to environmentally sensitive areas as required by state, local, and federal regulation. Accommodating the wider trail to meet these criteria does require removing some trees to build a trail that is safer and more accessible to people of all ages and abilities. King County's maintenance team only removes trees that are unhealthy or pose a safety risk to the public. The County's contractor only will remove the trees necessary to build the trail. As defined in the Sammamish Municipal Code, significant trees are coniferous trees with a diameter of 8 inches or greater and deciduous trees with a diameter of 12 inches or greater at breast height (DBH), or approximately 4 ½ feet above ground. There were 24 significant trees identified in the area of
		the Inglewood Hill Road Parking Lot. Of the 24 significant trees assessed by the arborist, 16 significant trees will be removed. Of the 16 significant trees to be removed, 15 are in poor condition and considered non-viable. See the Preliminary Tree Preservation Plans online for: [insert link].
2	The number of trees identified as dead and should be added to remove list	An arborist has inventoried the trees and provided a disposition, as noted above.
3	Narrow trail to retain trees and shrubs where able	See response to City comment II.A.1 through 4
L. Landscap	ing/Aesthetics	
1	Existing facilities/trail/rockeries are not maintained, moss covered and overgrown	Not a shoreline comment: This comment is not relevant to the City's review of the shoreline substantial development permit application as it addresses matters outside the scope of the Shoreline Management Act and the City of Sammamish Shoreline Master Program. The County will separately respond to public comments received as part of the SSDP review process that are outside the scope of this permit application in the summer or fall of 2017.
2	No plans shown for landscape restoration after trail completion	Not a shoreline comment: This comment is not relevant to the City's review of the shoreline substantial development permit application as it addresses matters outside the scope of the Shoreline Management Act and the City of Sammamish Shoreline Master Program. The County will separately respond to public comments received as part of the SSDP review process that are outside the scope of this permit application in the summer or fall of 2017.
3	Explain whether homeowners be allowed to plant new landscaping after trail complete	Not a shoreline comment: This comment is not relevant to the City's review of the shoreline substantial development permit application as it addresses matters outside the scope of the Shoreline Management Act and the City of Sammamish Shoreline Master Program. The County will separately respond to public comments received as part of the SSDP review process that are outside the scope of this permit application in the summer or fall of 2017.
4	Replace chain link fence with split rail fence, which exists on other completed portions of the trail	Not a shoreline comment: This comment is not relevant to the City's review of the shoreline substantial development permit application as it addresses matters outside the scope of the Shoreline Management Act and the City of Sammamish Shoreline Master Program. The County will separately respond to public comments received as part of the SSDP review process that are outside the scope of this permit application in the summer or fall of 2017.
M. Signage		
1	Need to designate what is private property	Not a shoreline comment: This comment is not relevant to the City's review of the shoreline substantial development permit application as it addresses matters outside the scope of the Shoreline Management Act and the City of Sammamish Shoreline Master Program. The County will separately respond to public comments received as part of the SSDP review process that are outside the scope of this permit application in the summer or fall of 2017.
N. Utilities		
1	Locate and do not disturb existing water/sewer/power/telephone/cable etc. utilities already existing under and/or above ground	Not a shoreline comment: This comment is not relevant to the City's review of the shoreline substantial development permit application as it addresses matters outside the scope of the Shoreline Management Act and the City of Sammamish Shoreline Master Program. The County will separately respond to public comments received as part of the SSDP review process that are outside the scope of this permit application in the summer or fall of 2017.
O. Privacy		
1	Explain whether locking gates will be added to staircases to maintain privacy	Not a shoreline comment: This comment is not relevant to the City's review of the shoreline substantial development permit application as it addresses matters outside the scope of the Shoreline Management Act and the City of Sammamish Shoreline Master Program. The County will separately respond to public comments received as part of the SSDP review process that are outside the scope of this permit application in the summer or fall of 2017.

II.	II. Comments from the Department of Cummunity Development			
			King County Responses	
			See responses to specific comments below.	
1	Trail Width	Pursuant to Sammamish Municipal Code (SMC) 21A.30.210(3) and SMC 25.06.020(5), please provide further explanation and documentation as to how the proposed width of the clearing and grubbing limits is the minimum necessary consistent with the findings of the project EIS as well as the standards and requirements of the SMP, SMC, and AASHTO guidelines	AASHTO provides recommendations regarding width but acknowledges the determination of width depends on the volume and types of use. Please see the additional analyses provided in: - Tab 5 Mitigation Sequencing Compliance Narrative - Tab 6 Trail Demand Analysis - Tab 7 Trail Width Analysis Regarding clearing and grubbing limits, King County uses criteria in the Washington State Department of Transportation Construction Manual to establish clearing and grubbing limits. Specifically, Chapter 2 Section SS 2-01.3(1) Clearing states: "Where slope treatment is provided clearing should normally be staked to a distance of 10 feet beyond the limits of the slope treatment with a distance of 5 feet being considered the absolute minimum distance required." Section SS 2-01.3(2) Grubbing states: "The clearing and grubbing limits shall be 5 feet beyond the toe of a fill and 10 feet beyond the top of a cut unless otherwise shown in the Plans." In many areas, King County is proposing the use of retaining walls to reduce the limits of cuts and fills. Where retaining walls are proposed to minimize trail footprint, the clearing and gurbbing limits are typically shown at 10 feet beyond the base of a fill wall and top of a cut wall.	
2	Speed	Provide information regarding trail design speed and the posted trail speed limit for trail users	The posted speed on trails is 15 mph. The design speed is 18 mph. Tab 7 - Trail Width Analysis includes discussion about design speed guidance.	
3	Trail Use Projections	Provide updated trail use projections that were used in assumptions supporting design	Please refer to Tab 6 Trail Demand Analysis.	
	Change in Projections	Provide a narrative describing how trail use projections have changed from projections made in the project EIS	Please refer to Tab 6 Trail Demand Analysis.	
В.	Trees	Trees are an important resource within the City of Sammamish. The County provided a tree preservation plan in November of 2016 and has tagged trees within the project area as observed by City Staff on a site visit conducted in early April 2017, however an Arborist Report has not been provided as required by SMC. Although SMC 21A.37.230(b) allows for an exemption from City approval when removing significant trees in public rights-of-way, written assessment performed by a qualified professional for the removal and retention of trees and other landscaping needs to be provided consistent with the SMP and SMC.	See below	
1	•	Pursuant to SMC 21A.37.230 a Certified Arborist shall prepare a written evaluation. The written evaluation or report shall include all trees and landscaping; indicate which significant trees are to be saved, monitored, and removed pursuant to SMC 21A.37 and SMC 25.06; and provide a replanting plan. Please provide an Arborists Report compliant with these code sections.	An arborist report is attached (Tab 9).	
	Critical Areas and Wildlife Habitat	The Watershed Company, on behalf of the City, reviewed associated data/reports in reviewing the critical areas associated with this proposal. It is determined that this proposal avoids impacting critical areas. Please provide the following information for the project file:	See below.	
	Critical Areas Study	One (1) paper copy and one (1) electronic copy of the Critical Areas Study, East Lake Sammamish Master Plan Trail, South Sammamish Segment B prepared by Parametrix in September 2016	The Revised CAS for South Sammamish Segment B is provided under separate cover with this submittal.	
2	FEIS Wildlife	The FEIS discusses for example Pileated woodpeckers and other migratory birds however there was no documentation provided with this application that wildlife habitat was considered. Please provide documentation addressing the presence or absence of wildlife habitat in this project area.	Pileated woodpeckers are addressed in the Revised CAS for South Sammamish Segment B.	

554-1521-075 (23/08) 5 July 2017

Item/		n the Department of Cummunity Development (continued)	
	TOPIC	City of Sammamish Comments	King County Responses
D.	Parking Lot	The parking lot and restroom facility is an allowed use as it is necessary to the primary permitted shoreline use of a public recreational use, the ELST Trail. There are a number of items that need to be addressed in regards to the parking facility regarding landscaping, lighting, ADA access and the restroom facility. Please provide additional information as outlined and requested below:	See below.
1	Landscaping		
	a	of SMC 21A.35.060. Update plans accordingly to include the correct maximum distance of no more	Three tree planter islands have been added adjacent to and within the parking rows so that every parking stall is within 100 feet of a tree. Tree islands (2) are now located at the north and south ends of the parallel parking row and a single island has been added in the middle of the perpendicular parking row. See revised 60% plans.
	b	Il andscaping for the interior of the parking lot facility is considered Type I pursuant to SMC.	Interior landscaping has been revised to meet Type I requirements. Type II plantings with an average width of 20 feet is now shown along E Lake Sammamish Parkway. See revised 60% plans.
	С	A berm(s) shall be incorporated into the parking lot for the purpose of shielding headlights from adjoining residential areas. Pursuant to SMC 21A.35.070(1) the berm shall not exceed a slope of two horizontal feet to one vertical foot (2:1). Update plan set to meet this requirement.	All parking stalls will be about 5 feet below the elevation of E Lake Sammamish Parkway. That grade differential, combined with landscaping, will effectively shield headlights from the properties to the east side of the parking lot. It is not possible to construct berms along the north and west sides of the parking lot because the topography slopes down steeply and retaining walls are required to provide a relatively level parking facility. The elevation of the parking lot will be at about the roof top elevation of the Houses to the west (lake) side. Dense evergreen trees will be planted along the north side of the parking lot to shield headlight from the single home there. There are no residences to the south of the parking lot. King County Parks owns several parcels directly to the south. Existing trees on those parcels will remain.
2	Lighting	City of Sammamish on November 30, 2016 it appears that the lighting standards in SMC 21A.30.230	An updated Illumination Technical Memo (dated June 16, 2017) is attached with these comment responses (Tab 10). Incorrect references to City code have been removed and more detail regarding how the proposed illumination system complies with SMC 25.06.020 (6) (addressing light and glare), 21A.30.230 (addressing solar power and motioin detection), and 21A.40.110(7) (addressing areas required to be illuminated and minimization of off-site lighting) has been added.
3	ADA Access	IPUISUANT TO SMC 21A 40 Obo, the narking lot facility shall provide handican narking stalls (shown on	This is not a shoreline issue. Proposed final grade spot elevations will be added to drawing AL1 for the construction permit set demonstrating compliance with ADA requirements (less than 5% longitudinal slope except at curb ramps and less than 2% cross slopes) for City compliance review.
	Restroom Facility	permit process. However, we respectfully ask that a dimensioned footprint with proposed directional placement be provided if available.	Not a shoreline comment: This comment is not relevant to the City's review of the shoreline substantial development permit application as it addresses matters outside the scope of the Shoreline Management Act and the City of Sammamish Shoreline Master Program. The County will separately respond to such comments received as part of the SSDP review process that are outside the scope of this permit application in the summer or fall of 2017.
E.	Maintenance	Numerous comments were provided from the public regarding maintenance of the facility and trail. Upon completion of Staff's review of the application materials, it is not clear that maintenance of the trail or parking lot facility has been addressed.	See below.
	Maintenance Schedule	facilities including but not limited to the parking lot and restroom facility pursuant to SMC 21A.30.220.	On past segments, King County has provided the City of Sammamish with an update to its project-specific Vegetation Management Plan. This plan identifies practices, policies, and procedures for addressing hazard trees, maintaining vegetation at intersections within sight distance triangles and along the trail corridor, addressing noxious weeds, and maintaining drainage features (ditches, pipes, culverts). King County is currently redesigning drainage to comply with the most current stormwater regulations. When drainage features are defined at 90% design, King County can provide a project-specific update to the Vegetation Management Plan, including the requested maintenance schedule. Please note that the provisions of SMC 21A.30.220 are applicable to "private ownership."

554-1521-075 (23/08) 6

II.	II. Comments from the Department of Cummunity Development (continued)			
	Topic	City of Sammamish Comments	King County Responses	
	Ownership	Numerous comments were provided from the public regarding ownership. Although the County	Not a shoreline comment: This comment is not relevant to the City's review of the shoreline substantial development permit application as it addresses	
г.	Ownership	provided sufficient information to the City regarding ownership it would be beneficial if a written	matters outside the scope of the Shoreline Management Act and the City of Sammamish Shoreline Master Program.	
		narrative that is easy to understand to the layperson and less technical were provided in addition to	The County will separately respond to public comments received as part of the SSDP review process that are outside the scope of this permit application in the summer or fall of 2017.	
		the information already received.		
1	Narrative	Please provide a narrative regarding the history and current ownership of the Trail ROW as it relates	Not a shoreline comment: This comment is not relevant to the City's review of the shoreline substantial development permit application as it addresses	
		to the real property include in this proposal. Please work with a public relations professional to	matters outside the scope of the Shoreline Management Act and the City of Sammamish Shoreline Master Program.	
		ensure the narrative, which should tell the story behind the establishment of the rail corridor, the	The County will separately respond to public comments received as part of the SSDP review process that are outside the scope of this permit application in	
		abandonment of the rail use, the rail-banking, the conversion to an interim trail, and the	the summer or fall of 2017.	
		development of the current proposed trail, is understandable by the average community member.		
		This narrative will be very helpful in clarifying the ownership questions that have been raised through		
		public comment.		
		om the Parks and Recreation Department		
	/Topic	City of Sammamish Comments	King County Responses	
A.	Lighting	Lighting should be carefully reviewed as this is likely to be of concern to neighbors. This includes both	See responses to Comment II.D.2 regarding design of the new illumination system.	
		parking lot lighting and headlight glare. A modification of the lighting standards may be appropriate		
		in this location to allow reduced parking lot lighting, although this must be requested by the		
		applicant. Park operation (trail) is dawn to dusk, so lighting may not make sense, perhaps other than		
		restroom security lighting, if any.		
В.	Signage	Signage should address previous neighborhood issues of bike trail users using Kokomo Drive to enter	Not a shoreline comment: This comment is not relevant to the City's review of the shoreline substantial development permit application as it addresses	
		and exit the trail. Signage was recently placed, maintain this signage and consider adding additional	matters outside the scope of the Shoreline Management Act and the City of Sammamish Shoreline Master Program.	
		signage on the trail to indicate the proper exit point for southbound non-motorized traffic.	The County will separately respond to public comments received as part of the SSDP review process that are outside the scope of this permit application in	
			the summer or fall of 2017.	
C.	Neighbor Cond	cerns		
1		Address the concern about ADA access to the trail for a disabled resident using a wheelchair who	Not a shoreline comment: This comment is not relevant to the City's review of the shoreline substantial development permit application as it addresses	
		currently uses a driveway that is proposed for re-configuration/removal.	matters outside the scope of the Shoreline Management Act and the City of Sammamish Shoreline Master Program.	
			The County will separately respond to public comments received as part of the SSDP review process that are outside the scope of this permit application in	
			the summer or fall of 2017.	
2		Address emergency and service vehicle access.	Not a shoreline comment: This comment is not relevant to the City's review of the shoreline substantial development permit application as it addresses	
			matters outside the scope of the Shoreline Management Act and the City of Sammamish Shoreline Master Program.	
			The County will separately respond to public comments received as part of the SSDP review process that are outside the scope of this permit application in	
			the summer or fall of 2017.	
D.	Jobe	Previous issues discussed with Jobe's and also of concern to other residents:	See below.	
1		Illegal driveway usage by cyclists and pedestrians	Not a shoreline comment: This comment is not relevant to the City's review of the shoreline substantial development permit application as it addresses	
			matters outside the scope of the Shoreline Management Act and the City of Sammamish Shoreline Master Program.	
			The County will separately respond to public comments received as part of the SSDP review process that are outside the scope of this permit application in	
			the summer or fall of 2017.	
2		Design of parking lot bike access	Bike access between the trail and the parking lot is provided via a ramp as can be seen on drawing AL1.	
3		Approved helicopter landing area, and the screen that current trees provide to prevent debris from	Not a shoreline comment: This comment is not relevant to the City's review of the shoreline substantial development permit application as it addresses	
		flying around upon take-offs and landings. Address the concern about removal of trees/landscaping	matters outside the scope of the Shoreline Management Act and the City of Sammamish Shoreline Master Program.	
		barrier and how that will affect the safety of trail users and the helicopter/operator.	The County will separately respond to public comments received as part of the SSDP review process that are outside the scope of this permit application in	
			the summer or fall of 2017.	
4		Hang out zone due to the trail having a wood fence at a height suitable for sitting, people use it as a	Not a shoreline comment: This comment is not relevant to the City's review of the shoreline substantial development permit application as it addresses	
		sitting hang out zone.	matters outside the scope of the Shoreline Management Act and the City of Sammamish Shoreline Master Program.	
			The County will separately respond to public comments received as part of the SSDP review process that are outside the scope of this permit application in	
			the summer or fall of 2017.	
_				
5		Cyclists and walkers using trail after Park hours	Not a shoreline comment: This comment is not relevant to the City's review of the shoreline substantial development permit application as it addresses	
			matters outside the scope of the Shoreline Management Act and the City of Sammamish Shoreline Master Program.	
1			The County will separately respond to public comments received as part of the SSDP review process that are outside the scope of this permit application in	
			the summer or fall of 2017.	
		1		

IV.	IV. Comments from the Public Works Department		
	Please refer to Tab 2		
٧	V Comments from East Fire and Rescue		
	Please refer to Tab 3		
VI	Comments from Sammamish Plateau Water		
	Please refer to Tab 4		

554-1521-075 (23/08) 8 July 2017