

## Lindsey Ozbolt

---

**From:** Peggy Michael Reddy <reddy@benefits-consulting.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 22, 2017 10:53 AM  
**To:** Lindsey Ozbolt; 'Overton, Frank'; 'ELST Master Plan'  
**Cc:** gene-beall@comcast.net; David Pyle  
**Subject:** : East Lake Sammamish Trail Segment B Wetlands 23C

Thanks Lindsey for your courtesy in replying. I appreciate it.

Yes, sadly I understand that we have to rely on the County to take any action to mitigate the trail location at Wetland 23C. For six months I've asked the County the same question (what needs to be done to avoid moving the trail westward?). Frankly, I simply don't believe the answer from the County that the Wetland 23C is the issue and that there is no mitigation option. That simply cannot be true. Where there is a will there is a way.

Smart people can fix a problem, any problem. I can only hope that the County will see that my idea will save the County a lot of money and save mature landscaping and trees. I was going to hire an appraiser to prove the value of what the trail construction will destroy to build a case as to why it makes no sense to move the trail westward. I pray I am not whistling in the wind and that my appeals will be heard rather than getting the same response from the County.

My significant other is an acquaintance of Executive Constantine. I think we need to appeal to him directly pending the County's response. If the worst happens and the County refuses to create a solution, it will be a lot of fun getting the Seattle Times and perhaps national news to participate in filming the loss and destruction to protect a drainage ditch and a hill full of brambles and blackberries. 40 - 100 year old trees gone!! 40 year old rhododendrons gone!! For decades the railroad passed the same spot at the same location and there has been no impact to designated Wetland 23C. I continue to ask the County what could possibly be the impact on Wetland 23C to keep the trail where it is and what can we do to mitigate the impact, if any!? My message to the County please let's work together to figure this out!

I will invite anyone from King County who wishes to visit to come to Wetland 23C and talk further about the possibilities to mitigate the Wetland issue.

I will continue to communicate with the County and wait for a response from the County! I will not give up! I celebrate the trail! Let's do it the right way!

Thank you for listening Lindsey!

Best regards, Peggy  
206.484.4845

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Lindsey Ozbolt [mailto:LOzbolt@sammamish.us]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 22, 2017 8:49 AM  
**To:** Peggy Michael Reddy <reddy@benefits-consulting.com>; 'Overton, Frank' <Frank.Overton@kingcounty.gov>; 'ELST Master Plan' <ELST@kingcounty.gov>  
**Cc:** gene-beall@comcast.net; David Pyle <DPyle@sammamish.us>  
**Subject:** RE: East Lake Sammamish Trail Segment B Wetlands 23C

Good morning Peggy.

**Exhibit 45**  
**SSDP2016-00415**  
**005409**

The City of Sammamish is unable to require the US Army Corps to engage in a review and permitting scenario. It is up to the applicant, in this case King County, to determine if they would like to work with the US Army Corps early in the permitting process and reach out to them for assistance.

Best Regards,

Lindsey Ozbolt  
Associate Planner | City of Sammamish | Department of Community Development  
425.295.0527

-----Original Message-----

From: Peggy Michael Reddy [mailto:reddy@benefits-consulting.com]  
Sent: Monday, March 20, 2017 2:33 PM  
To: 'Overton, Frank' <Frank.Overton@kingcounty.gov>; 'ELST Master Plan' <ELST@kingcounty.gov>; Lindsey Ozbolt <LOzbolt@sammamish.us>  
Cc: gene-beall@comcast.net  
Subject: East Lake Sammamish Trail Segment B Wetlands 23C

In an email from the Corps below they have indicated that the County has not asked them for a review to consider a mitigation of the wetlands issue at 23C. What action do we need to take for the County to take action? Who is in charge for taking up this issue? Is your solution to ignore my repeated requests? Why is the County telling me that no mitigation is possible because of the Corp's designation of the wetland when actually the Corps indicates the County simply needs to ask for a review to determine if the location will be regulated? The expense of C&G is far greater than the mitigation issue. Should I write to the King County Council and Executive Constantine and show them the proposed destruction? Shall I call in the Seattle Times and news station to bring this to the attention of the public? What will it take for you to show me the courtesy of addressing the request to consider mitigation issues? I don't believe the message I have been given again and again which is that nothing can be done! The County hasn't even tried to address the issue! This is so frustrating!

Please reply with what action is the County going to take?

Thank you, Peggy

-----Original Message-----

From: Curry, Kathryn E CIV USARMY CENWS (US) [mailto:Kathryn.E.Curry@usace.army.mil]  
Sent: Monday, March 20, 2017 1:21 PM  
To: Peggy Michael Reddy <reddy@benefits-consulting.com>  
Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL] East Lake Sammamish Trail Segment B Wetlands 23C

Hi Peggy,

I'm not sure who you need to talk with, but I think that Gina Auld is the County's trail project manager. So, I suggest contacting her and/or contacting the City of Sammamish.

After the County submits a permit application to the Corps, the Corps will review all wetlands and streams that are regulated by the Corps where an impact is proposed. The Corps will work with the County on any mitigation requirements at that time. At this point, the County has not yet submitted a permit application with wetland or stream impacts and related mitigation identified for Corps review. Thus far, the County has only asked the Corps to review a few potential wetland and stream features to determine if they are going to be regulated by the Corps.

I'm sorry that I do not have more to relay at this point in time.

**Exhibit 45**  
**SSDP2016-00415**  
**005410**

Regards, Kathy

Kathryn E. Curry, PWS  
Regulatory Branch, Seattle District  
USACE  
206-764-5527  
Kathryn.E.Curry@usace.army.mil

-----Original Message-----

From: Peggy Michael Reddy [mailto:reddy@benefits-consulting.com]  
Sent: Friday, March 17, 2017 4:43 PM  
To: Curry, Kathryn E CIV USARMY CENWS (US) <Kathryn.E.Curry@usace.army.mil>  
Cc: 'Karrah Penk (Benefits Consulting Services LLC)'  
<karrah@benefits-consulting.com>; lozbolt@sammamish.us; 'Overton, Frank'  
<Frank.Overton@kingcounty.gov>  
Subject: [EXTERNAL] East Lake Sammamish Trail Segment B Wetlands 23C

Hi Kathryn: I would like to find out from the person who can answer the question: What are the wetlands mitigation possibilities in lieu of moving the trail westward at Wetlands 23C? Are you that person? If not could you please advise who is in authority to provide guidance?

You indicated in your prior correspondence that the County has not asked that you review Wetlands 23C. I'm not understanding why you have not been engaged. Who at King County would engage you?

How does the County engage you to review Wetlands 23C mitigation opportunities to avoid the cost of moving the trail westward at the Wetlands 23C location and to avoid taking down trees and mature shrubs? It would seem that making modifications to preserve the Wetlands would be considerably less costly to the taxpayers than the proposed westward clearing and grubbing proposed by King County.

Are there other Wetland areas along the ELS trail where mitigations have been implemented whereby the City could address opportunities to mitigate at Wetlands 23C to avoid the 15 foot westward movement of the trail at that location?

Can you provide some guidance please. Who is in charge at the County to engage you to review this area? How do we obtain the necessary documentation and approval by the Corps to move forward? Who is in charge to authorize review of the area in question?

Appreciate your time.

Thanks, Peggy Reddy  
206.484.4845

-----Original Message-----

From: Curry, Kathryn E CIV USARMY CENWS (US) [mailto:Kathryn.E.Curry@usace.army.mil]  
Sent: Friday, January 27, 2017 9:39 AM  
To: Peggy Michael Reddy <reddy@benefits-consulting.com>; 'ELST Master Plan'  
<ELST@kingcounty.gov>; lozbolt@sammamish.us  
Cc: 'Karrah Penk (Benefits Consulting Services LLC)'  
<karrah@benefits-consulting.com>  
Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL] RE: East Lake Sammamish Trail Segment B Wetlands 23C

**Exhibit 45**  
**SSDP2016-00415**  
**005411**

Peggy,

I can only relay that we have not been requested to review Wetland 23C at this time. On wetlands we have been asked to review, at this time we are only looking at whether or not they are jurisdictional (regulated) wetlands, streams or ditches, and in some cases we are also looking at the location of jurisdictional wetland, stream or ditch boundaries. We are not reviewing or commenting on project design, location, etc.

Where the project design impacts jurisdictional features, I expect that the applicant will be, at some time in the future, submitting documentation to the Corps for a nationwide permit and providing mitigation for impacts to jurisdictional features.

Regards, Kathy

Kathryn E. Curry, PWS  
Regulatory Branch, Seattle District  
USACE  
206-764-5527  
Kathryn.E.Curry@usace.army.mil

-----Original Message-----

From: Peggy Michael Reddy [mailto:reddy@benefits-consulting.com]  
Sent: Friday, January 27, 2017 9:24 AM  
To: Curry, Kathryn E CIV USARMY CENWS (US) <Kathryn.E.Curry@usace.army.mil>; 'ELST Master Plan' <ELST@kingcounty.gov>; lozbolt@sammamish.us  
Cc: 'Karrah Penk (Benefits Consulting Services LLC)' <karrah@benefits-consulting.com>  
Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL] RE: East Lake Sammamish Trail Segment B Wetlands 23C

Very odd..thanks but they specifically told us to contact you.

-----Original Message-----

From: Curry, Kathryn E CIV USARMY CENWS (US) [mailto:Kathryn.E.Curry@usace.army.mil]  
Sent: Friday, January 27, 2017 9:22 AM  
To: Peggy Michael Reddy <reddy@benefits-consulting.com>  
Cc: Karrah Penk (Benefits Consulting Services LLC) <karrah@benefits-consulting.com>  
Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL] RE: East Lake Sammamish Trail Segment B Wetlands 23C

Peggy,

Thank you for your email. As I have relayed to Mike Schmidt, Wetland 23 C is not currently part of our review scope. I encourage you to engage with the County and City regarding your concerns about the project design.

Regards, Kathy

Kathryn E. Curry, PWS  
Regulatory Branch, Seattle District  
USACE  
206-764-5527  
Kathryn.E.Curry@usace.army.mil

**Exhibit 45**  
**SSDP2016-00415**  
**005412**

-----Original Message-----

From: Peggy Michael Reddy [mailto:reddy@benefits-consulting.com]  
Sent: Friday, January 27, 2017 7:45 AM  
To: Curry, Kathryn E CIV USARMY CENWS (US) <Kathryn.E.Curry@usace.army.mil>  
Cc: Karrah Penk (Benefits Consulting Services LLC)  
<karrah@benefits-consulting.com>  
Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: East Lake Sammamish Trail Segment B Wetlands 23C

Hi Kathy: My name is Peggy Reddy. I'm a property owner adjacent to the proposed trail at the location referenced by my neighbor Mike. Attached are my comments to the County and City.

In follow-up Mike's comments we believe the designation of the Section 23C as a wetland may not be correctly categorized and has very negative consequences fully described in my impassioned appeal. We appreciate your review and your reconsideration of Section 23C's "wetland" designation. If, after review by the USACE, it still remains a "wetland" designation please advise what authorizations and opportunities we have to be granted an exception. Thank you for your time.

Peggy

Peggy Reddy

929 ELS Shore Lane SE

Sammamish, WA 98075

206.484.14845

**Exhibit 45**  
**SSDP2016-00415**  
**005413**