

Doug McIntyre

From: Karen McKnight <karenmcknight@msn.com>
Sent: Monday, July 27, 2020 9:36 PM
To: EIS
Subject: Comment on Scope of Environmental Impact Statement
Attachments: Petition for Actions.pdf

[CAUTION - EXTERNAL EMAIL]

I understand the proposed EIS is considering two choices:

- 1) No action, which means we continue with our current Comprehensive Plan and support the residential development in the area.
- 2) Incorporate the V/C Level of Service (LOS) that was invalidated by the GMA Hearing Board in 2019. With this arbitrary V/C standard the City has chosen, it will be necessary to significantly reduce residential density in the area. This approach.

I am proposing a third alternative:

What I do support is a third option to enrich our community and alleviate long standing imbalances in housing in Sammamish by optimizing land-uses. It's time to do our due diligence so that we understand the future life cycle of the "Petition for Actions", which I have attached, as the third choice.

Sincerely,
Karen McKnight
22329 NE 28th St
Sammamish, WA 98074

Please be aware that email communications with members of the City Council, City Commissioners, or City staff are public records and are subject to disclosure upon request.

****July 28, 2020 Staff Note: The following text was copied and pasted below verbatim from the original email. The email would not convert to PDF properly, resulting in text being cut off. This is meant to preserve the comment in its original form.****

I understand the proposed EIS is considering two choices:

1) No action, which means we continue with our current Comprehensive Plan and support the residential land-use numbers that are adopted in it.

2) Incorporate the V/C Level of Service (LOS) that was invalidated by the GMA Hearing Board in 2019 into a new comprehensive plan. To have land-uses be consistent with this arbitrary V/C standard the City has chosen, it will be necessary to significantly reduce residential land-use numbers below those currently adopted. I do not support this approach.

I am proposing a third alternative:

What I do support is a third option to enrich our community and alleviate long standing imbalances in housing supplies, economic supplies, and transportation systems within Sammamish by optimizing land-uses. It's time to do our due diligence so that we understand the future lifestyle wants and needs for our citizens and businesses. I support the "Petition for Actions", which I have attached, as the third choice.

Enrich & Sustain – Petition for Actions

To the Sammamish City Council,

I support the [Enrich & Sustain](#) growth platform substantially as presented. I have read the “Alpha Version” as well as supplementary documents presented to the City from March – July 2020, that have been posted as written public comments, by meeting date, on the Sammamish Civic Web.

Specifically, I agree and support:

- A. Now is the time for Sammamish to optimally alleviate these imbalances within our City:
 - ✓ Housing Supplies
 - ✓ Economic Amenities
 - ✓ Transportation Systems
- B. To modestly optimize these three imbalances, these temporal perspectives must be evaluated:
 - Prior to Incorporation (About 1960-1999)
 - After incorporation. (From 1999 to 2019)
 - Present day
 - The future – from the “7-generation” approach.
- C. Obtain the “missing” information* as described in “The Chew” and “Single-Family Buildout”.
(*See article 2 of alpha version [Enrich & Sustain](#) and civic web written public comments by Paul Stickney on 5.19.20)
- D. There are seven fundamental parts to optimize called for by [Enrich & Sustain](#), summarized here:
 - 1) Lessen the amount of additional large single-family homes allowed in Sammamish.
 - 2) Quickly increase the amount of different, diverse and smaller housing supplies in our City.
 - 3) Plan to sustainably meet cycle-of-life housing demands for those living and/or working here.
 - 4) Suitably size desirable and convenient economic amenities, based on parts 1, 2 and 3.
 - 5) Determine city-wide transportation and transit systems, based on parts 1, 2, 3 and 4.
 - 6) Effectively manage and leverage the wealth and benefits enabled by parts 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5.
 - 7) Do major lane capacity road widening projects in the future – only if they are needed.
- E. All seven city council members should wholeheartedly support the specifics above. There may be differences of opinion, that will need collaboration and compromise, with the points below.
- F. > With the sufficient “missing” information* in hand – as a community we need to determine specific policy and numeric changes for each of the seven fundamental parts of [Enrich & Sustain](#). This will include optimally altering all the elements in our Comprehensive Plan, Sub-Area Plans, Zoning, Programs, Plans and Development Regulations. (*“The Chew” and S-F Buildout”)
- G. > *(Rephrased, the specifics to optimize the seven fundamental parts of [Enrich & Sustain](#) need to be discussed by our community first, then have our elected City Council make legislative decisions.)*

My Ask: Make [Enrich & Sustain](#) one of the alternative solutions the City seriously considers to balance, remedy and alleviate past, present and future growth issues within Sammamish.

Enrich & Sustain for Sammamish

Community ♦ Neighborhoods ♦ Lifestyles

Seven Generations ♦ Diverse Cultures ♦ Six-Sectors*

*The Environment – Transportation – People – Money – The Region – Community Character